Reply to Office Action of July 17, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the

following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-23 are pending in this application. Claim 23 is amended. No new matter is

added.

In the outstanding Official Action, Claim 23 was objected to. Claims 1-22 were

allowed.

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the allowance of Claims 1-22.

Claim 23 is amended to recite "the diffraction unit being a phase-modulated type

diffraction grating and being controlled based on the portion detected by the recording area

discrimination unit," as suggested in the outstanding Office Action. Consequently, the

objection to Claim 23 is believed to be overcome.

Accordingly, in view of the present amendment, no further issues are believed to be

outstanding and the present application is believed to be in condition for formal allowance.

An early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 06/04)

I:\ATTY\ET\250764US\250764US-AMD9.17.07.DOC

Bradley D. Lytle Attorney of Record

Attorney of Record Registration No. 40,073

Edward W. Tracy, Jr.

Registration No. 47,998

Andrew T. Harry Registration No. 56,959